

Saturated Actions on C^* -algebra Suspensions and Joins by Finite Cyclic Groups

Benjamin Passer*
Washington University
St. Louis, MO 63130

bpasser@math.wustl.edu

March 25, 2016

Abstract

We present proofs of a C^* -algebraic conjecture of Dąbrowski, and certain topological cases of conjectures by Baum, Dąbrowski, and Hajac, that generalize the Borsuk-Ulam theorem. We also formulate a different type of noncommutative join than the previous authors, which leads to additional open problems for finite group actions.

Keywords: Borsuk-Ulam, free action, saturated action, unreduced suspension, join

MSC2010: 46L85

1 Introduction

In recent years, a collection of results on C^* -algebras have surfaced that generalize the Borsuk-Ulam theorem, which is an algebraic topological theorem on the spheres $\mathbb{S}^k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} : x_1^2 + \dots + x_{k+1}^2 = 1\}$.

Theorem 1.1 (Borsuk-Ulam). Any odd, continuous function from $\mathbb{S}^k$ to $\mathbb{R}^k$ must have the zero vector in its range, so there is no odd, continuous function from $\mathbb{S}^k$ to $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$. Equivalently, every odd, continuous function from $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ to itself must be homotopically nontrivial.

The Borsuk-Ulam theorem has a multitude of generalizations in a wide variety of settings. The C^* -algebraic variants are motivated by the Gelfand-Naimark correspondence, which states that every commutative C^* -algebra A with unit may be written uniquely as $C(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space X . This correspondence takes the form of a contravariant functor, where continuous maps $X \rightarrow Y$ are dual to unital $*$ -homomorphisms $C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$. In this sense, one may think of a *noncommutative* C^* -algebra with unit as a dual object to

*Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grants DMS 1300280 and DMS 1363250

some nebulous “compact noncommutative space.” This type of reasoning is more grounded when a noncommutative C^* -algebra A is obtained from some $C(X)$ by a deformation, such as the θ -deformed and q -deformed noncommutative spheres. In both of these cases, a C^* -algebraic analogue of the Borsuk-Ulam holds, where oddness of functions is replaced with discussion of a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on the algebra.

Theorem 1.2. (Yamashita, [18] Corollary 15) Fix $0 < q \leq 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. There is no equivariant, unital $*$ -homomorphism from the q -deformed k -sphere to the q -deformed $(k+1)$ -sphere.

Theorem 1.3. (P, [13] Corollary 4.6) Fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. There is no equivariant, unital $*$ -homomorphism from a θ -deformed k -sphere to a θ -deformed $(k+1)$ -sphere.

The above theorems are related to (but not quite encapsulated by) a conjecture of L. Dąbrowski in [4]. If A is a unital C^* -algebra with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action generated by $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$, then this action extends to the unreduced suspension $\Sigma A = \{f \in C([-1, 1], A) : f(-1), f(1) \in \mathbb{C}\}$ in a natural way. (Note: we use $[-1, 1]$ instead of $[0, 1]$, so our action looks different, but it is equivalent to the original formulation.)

$$\alpha_\Sigma f(t) = \alpha(f(-t))$$

The commutative sphere $C(\mathbb{S}^{k+1})$ is the unreduced suspension of $C(\mathbb{S}^k)$, and the extension of the antipodal action is again antipodal. Now, for a θ -deformed sphere $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^k)$, $\Sigma C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^k)$ is another θ -deformed sphere of dimension $k+1$, but not all θ -deformed spheres may be obtained in this way; the new generator $f(t) = t$ is always central. Dąbrowski conjectured the following in pursuit of noncommutative Brouwer fixed-point theorems.

Conjecture 1.4 (Dąbrowski, [4] Conjecture 3.1). For a unital C^* -algebra A with a free action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, there is no $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow \Sigma A$.

We present a proof of this conjecture as Theorem 2.4. The commutative case $A = C(X)$ was previously known only when X is of finite covering dimension, but this restriction will not persist. The unreduced suspension of a topological space is a join with $\mathbb{Z}_2$, and in a different paper [1], P. Baum, L. Dąbrowski, and P. Hajac formulate a join of C^* -algebras, which is particularly relevant when one of the algebras is a quantum group acting on the other.

Conjecture 1.5 (Baum-Dąbrowski-Hajac, [1] Conjecture 2.3 Type 1). Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with a free action of a nontrivial compact quantum group (H, Δ) . Also, let $A *_\delta H$ be the equivariant noncommutative join C^* -algebra of A and H with the induced free action of (H, Δ) given by δ_Δ . Then there is no H -equivariant $*$ -homomorphism from A to $A *_\delta H$.

We introduce a type of noncommutative join (for actions of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ only) that is different from that of [1] and formulate a similar conjecture, with comments about special cases. Methods used for the suspension are partially applicable, proving the following topological analogue in the special case that G has nontrivial torsion.

Conjecture 1.6 (Baum-Dąbrowski-Hajac, [1] Conjecture 2.2). Let X be a compact Hausdorff space with a continuous free action of a nontrivial compact Hausdorff group G . Then, for the diagonal action of G on $X * G$, there does not exist a G -equivariant continuous map $f : X * G \rightarrow X$.

2 Unreduced Suspensions

In this section, we present a proof to Dąbrowski's conjecture on the unreduced suspension. There is relevant information in the topological case, which our proof will also extend. The following theorem shows the extent to which the topological version of Conjecture 1.4 was already known before our work.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose X is a compact Hausdorff space *with finite covering dimension* equipped with a free $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action. Then there is no continuous, equivariant map from ΣX to X .

Descriptions of the proof of the result, which applies in a similar form for $\mathbb{Z}_k$ actions, $k > 2$, may be found in [3], Theorem 4 for CW-complexes, [5] for compact Hausdorff spaces, and [18], Remark 17 for the noncommutative (KK -theoretic) point of view. The assumption of finite covering dimension of X allows discussion of top Čech cohomology, which is a homotopy invariant. Alternatively, the proof in [5] uses simplicial methods to show that finite dimensionality of X may be used to reduce from the case of free $\mathbb{Z}_k$ actions on X to standard rotation actions on spheres, for which every equivariant self-map is homotopically nontrivial. Our proof of Conjecture 1.4 results from an attempt to find the only “obvious” type of counterexample that might exist in the topological case: a compact, infinite-dimensional space X which includes a copy of each $\mathbb{S}^k$.

Let $\mathbb{S}^k$ map injectively into $\mathbb{S}^{k+1}$ via $x \mapsto (x, 0)$, and form the increasing union, denoted $\mathbb{S}^\infty$, by taking a direct limit. The topology of $\mathbb{S}^\infty$ is given by the final topology, meaning that a subset O of $\mathbb{S}^\infty$ is open if and only if $O \cap \mathbb{S}^k$ is open in $\mathbb{S}^k$ for all k . Now, $\mathbb{S}^\infty$ is not a (locally) compact space, but it is equal to its own unreduced suspension, and its Stone-Čech compactification $\beta\mathbb{S}^\infty$ is compact and admits a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action generated by the antipodal map α , as follows. Note that while $\mathbb{S}^\infty$ is not locally compact, it is a Tychonoff space, so the inclusion map $\iota : \mathbb{S}^\infty \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{S}^\infty$ exists, has non-open range, and satisfies the universal property of Stone-Čech. So, since $\iota \circ \alpha : \mathbb{S}^\infty \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{S}^\infty$ is a map from $\mathbb{S}^\infty$ into a compact Hausdorff space, there exists a continuous map $\tilde{\alpha} : \beta\mathbb{S}^\infty \rightarrow \beta\mathbb{S}^\infty$ which extends it. On the dense subset $\iota(\mathbb{S}^\infty)$ of $\beta\mathbb{S}^\infty$, $\tilde{\alpha} \circ \iota$ is the identity map, so $\tilde{\alpha}$ is of order 2.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S}^\infty & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \beta\mathbb{S}^\infty \\ \alpha \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha} \\ \mathbb{S}^\infty & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \beta\mathbb{S}^\infty \end{array}$$

By the definition of the topology of $\mathbb{S}^\infty$, if a sequence of continuous maps $\psi_k : \mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow X$ is consistent with all of the inclusions $\mathbb{S}^k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{k+1}$, then the sequence produces a unique continuous map $\psi : \mathbb{S}^\infty \rightarrow X$. If X is compact and Hausdorff, this extends to $\tilde{\psi} : \beta\mathbb{S}^\infty \rightarrow X$. If all of the ψ_k are equivariant maps for the antipodal action and a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on X , then ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ are also equivariant. Such a map $\tilde{\psi}$ can actually be produced any time there is an equivariant map from ΣX to X by the following iteration scheme. If $f : \Sigma X \rightarrow X$ is equivariant, then we can suspend f to form an equivariant map from $\Sigma^2 X$ to ΣX , and repeated suspensions produce a sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \Sigma^3 X \rightarrow \Sigma^2 X \rightarrow \Sigma X \rightarrow X$$

of equivariant maps. Now, ΣX contains an equivariant copy of $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{S}^0$, $\Sigma^2 X$ contains an equivariant copy of $\Sigma \mathbb{S}^0 = \mathbb{S}^1$, and so on. This forms a sequence of equivariant, continuous maps $\psi_k : \mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow X$ that are consistent with the inclusions $\mathbb{S}^k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{k+1}$, and by the above discussion, these extend to a continuous, equivariant map $\tilde{\psi} : \beta \mathbb{S}^\infty \rightarrow X$.

From this discussion, we reach a crossroads. It was natural to consider compactifications of $\mathbb{S}^\infty$ because $\mathbb{S}^\infty$ is its own unreduced suspension (including the form of the antipodal action), and if that property were preserved by a compactification on which the antipodal action remained free, this would disprove Dąbrowski's conjecture, as Theorem 2.1 would truly require the assumption of finite dimensionality. However, if the antipodal action on $\beta \mathbb{S}^\infty$ is *not* free (i.e., has a fixed point), then for any compact Hausdorff space X with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action and an equivariant map from ΣX to X , the equivariant map from $\beta \mathbb{S}^\infty$ to X produced above actually implies that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on X cannot be free!

Fixed points of maps on Stone-Čech compactifications of normal spaces were studied in Theorem 2.3 of [2], which shows that the compactification $\tilde{f} : \beta Y \rightarrow \beta Y$ of a map $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ has a fixed point if and only if Y fails to admit a finite open cover with disjointness properties under f -images. Similarly, from the main result of [17], the antipodal map on the Stone-Čech compactification of the disjoint union of spheres $\mathbb{S}^k$ must have a fixed point, again by considering open covers, and in [15], section 3, the antipodal map is used to construct a zero dimensional space and a fixed point free map whose compactification does admit a fixed point. In general, we find that fixed points are likely to exist on Stone-Čech compactifications, and section 4 of [6] shows that $\beta \mathbb{S}^\infty$ does have a fixed point for the antipodal map.

Theorem 2.2. If X is a compact Hausdorff space (possibly infinite dimensional) with a free $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action, then there is no equivariant, continuous map from ΣX to X .

Proof. If an equivariant map from ΣX to X exists, the iteration technique above yields an equivariant map from $\mathbb{S}^\infty$ to X , which may be lifted to the Stone-Čech compactification as $\tilde{\psi} : \beta \mathbb{S}^\infty \rightarrow X$. The image of a fixed point of $\beta \mathbb{S}^\infty$ is a fixed point of X . $\square$

Remark. The theorem has a converse, as if the action on X is not free, and $x_0 \in X$ is a fixed point, then the constant map that sends any element of ΣX to x_0 is equivariant.

In the topological setting, the image of a fixed point of $\beta \mathbb{S}^\infty$ produces a fixed point of X , contrary to assumption. Because a noncommutative C^* -algebra with unit cannot be a function algebra, in order to apply similar techniques on C^* -algebras, we must see this fixed point from a different perspective, in which covering lemmas are replaced with equivalent facts about functions. A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on a C^* -algebra A , generated by $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$, gives rise to a grading of A into even elements, $A_0 = \{a \in A : \alpha(a) = a\}$, and odd elements, $A_1 = \{a \in A : \alpha(a) = -a\}$. The action is *saturated* if $\overline{A_0 A A_0^*} = A$ and $\overline{A_1 A A_1^*} = A$, which in particular implies that the right ideal generated by odd elements is full. If $A = C(X)$, then the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on A is dual to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on X , and freeness is equivalent to saturation (see [14]).

Consider the C^* -algebra $C(\beta \mathbb{S}^\infty) = C_b(\mathbb{S}^\infty)$ of bounded functions on $\mathbb{S}^\infty$. We should find that the antipodal action on $C_b(\mathbb{S}^\infty)$ is not saturated; indeed, if $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_b(\mathbb{S}^\infty)$ are odd functions and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in C_b(\mathbb{S}^\infty)$ are any functions such that $\|f_1 a_1 + \dots + f_n a_n - 1\| < 1$, then $f_1 a_1 + \dots + f_n a_n$ is nowhere-vanishing, and the odd functions $f_1, \dots, f_n$ have no common zeroes. These may be combined into a single odd function $F : \mathbb{S}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$, and the restriction of the domain to $\mathbb{S}^{2n}$ would contradict the Borsuk-Ulam theorem. In other words, another way to see that a fixed point of $\beta \mathbb{S}^\infty$ exists is that the number of

odd functions required to generate an invertible in $C(\mathbb{S}^k)$ increases without bound as k increases, so an approximation using finitely many odd functions cannot be done in $C_b(\mathbb{S}^\infty)$. If we exploit the setting of C^* -algebras further, we can prove Dąbrowski's conjecture while avoiding the unwieldy Stone-Ćech compactification all together.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism that is (α, β) -equivariant for $\mathbb{Z}_2$ actions α on A and β on B . Let α_Σ and β_Σ denote the associated $\mathbb{Z}_2$ actions on the unreduced suspensions. Then $\Phi = \Sigma\phi : \Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma B$ defined by $\Phi(f)[t] = \phi(f(t))$ is equivariant for $(\alpha_\Sigma, \beta_\Sigma)$.

Proof. This is a direct check, by evaluating each at t .

$$\beta_\Sigma(\Phi(f))[t] = \beta(\Phi(f)[-t]) = \beta(\phi(f(-t))) = \phi(\alpha(f(-t))) = \phi(\alpha_\Sigma(f)[t]) = \Phi(\alpha_\Sigma(f))[t]$$

□

Theorem 2.4. Suppose A is a unital C^* -algebra with a saturated $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action. Then there is no unital $*$ -homomorphism from A to ΣA that is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ equivariant.

Proof. Suppose A has a saturated $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action and $\phi : A \rightarrow \Sigma A$ is a unital, (α, α_Σ) -equivariant $*$ -homomorphism. Then by the previous lemma, we may repeatedly suspend the map to an $(\alpha_{\Sigma^n}, \alpha_{\Sigma^{n+1}})$ -equivariant homomorphism from $\Sigma^n A$ to $\Sigma^{n+1} A$. By composing these maps in a chain, for each k we can form a unital, $(\alpha, \alpha_{\Sigma^k})$ -equivariant $*$ -homomorphism from A to $\Sigma^k A$. Now, $\Sigma^k A$ has an equivariant quotient algebra $\Sigma^{k-1} C(\mathbb{Z}_2) = C(\mathbb{S}^{k-1})$ seen by evaluating on the boundary, so for any k we may form an equivariant, unital $*$ -homomorphism $\psi_k : A \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^{k-1})$, where $C(\mathbb{S}^{k-1})$ has the antipodal action.

Since the action on A is saturated, the right ideal generated by odd elements is full, so let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A$ be odd elements and let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ be such that $\|f_1 a_1 + \dots + f_n a_n - 1\| < 1$. Now that n is fixed, consider $\psi_{2n+1} : A \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^{2n})$, so the images $\psi_{2n+1}(f_1), \dots, \psi_{2n+1}(f_n)$ are odd complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{S}^{2n}$. Since ψ_{2n+1} is a unital map and all C^* -homomorphisms have operator norm at most 1, we obtain the estimate $\|\psi_{2n+1}(f_1)\psi_{2n+1}(a_1) + \dots + \psi_{2n+1}(f_n)\psi_{2n+1}(a_n) - 1\| < 1$. This implies that the n complex-valued, odd functions $\psi_{2n+1}(f_1), \dots, \psi_{2n+1}(f_n)$ on $\mathbb{S}^{2n}$ have no common zeroes, contradicting the traditional Borsuk-Ulam theorem on $\mathbb{S}^{2n}$. □

Remark. There is no general converse to this theorem. Let A be a noncommutative, simple C^* -algebra, such as an irrational quantum 2-torus, with the trivial action. This action is certainly nonsaturated. Nevertheless, there is no unital $*$ -homomorphism from A to ΣA , as this would produce a maximal ideal from evaluation at $t = 1$.

Based on the discussion in [4], we reach the following additional corollaries, which are also related to questions in [16].

Corollary 2.5. The following statements hold.

1. If X is a compact Hausdorff space with a free $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action, then there is no continuous map from the cone ΓX to X whose restriction to $X \subset \Gamma X$ is equivariant (or in particular is the identity map). So, any equivariant map from X to itself is homotopically nontrivial.
2. If A is a unital C^* -algebra with a saturated $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action, then there is no unital $*$ -homomorphism from A to the cone $\Gamma A = \{f \in C([0, 1], A) : f(1) \in \mathbb{C}\}$ which is equivariant on the boundary $t = 0$. So, any equivariant map from A to itself must not be homotopically equivalent in the strong topology to a representation of A into $\mathbb{C}$.

The unreduced suspension of a space X may be written as a join $X * \mathbb{Z}_2$, where the join of two topological spaces X and Y is defined as the following quotient space.

$$\begin{aligned} X * Y &\cong X \times Y \times [0, 1] / \sim \\ (0, x_0, y) &\sim (0, x_1, y) \text{ for all } x_0, x_1 \in X, y \in Y \\ (1, x, y_0) &\sim (1, x, y_1) \text{ for all } x \in X, y_0, y_1 \in Y \end{aligned}$$

If G acts on X freely, then $X * G$ admits a free action induced by $h \cdot (x, g, t) = (h \cdot x, hg, t)$. In [1], the authors ask (for G and X also compact and Hausdorff) if there is ever an equivariant, continuous map from $X * G$ to X . Similar techniques as above settle this conjecture when G has nontrivial torsion; we may appeal to the Stone-Ćech compactification of infinite joins, which is considered for finite groups in [6], or we may use $\mathbb{Z}_k$ Borsuk-Ulam theorems, which can be found in [5]. The proofs use the correspondence between free actions on X and saturated actions on $C(X)$ by more general groups than $\mathbb{Z}_2$, as follows.

Definition 2.6. Suppose a compact abelian group G acts on a unital C^* -algebra A via α . This gives rise to homogeneous subspaces $A_\tau = \{a \in A : \alpha_g(a) = \tau(g)a \text{ for all } g \in G\}$, which are defined for any $\tau \in \widehat{G}$, the Pontryagin dual of G . The action is *saturated* if for each $\tau \in \widehat{G}$, $\overline{A_\tau A_\tau^*} = A$. When $A = C(X)$ is commutative, the action on $C(X)$ is saturated if and only if the associated action on X is free.

The Pontryagin dual of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ is $\mathbb{Z}_k$, more specifically given by the k th roots of unity, and the homogeneous subspace A_n consists of elements of A such that $\alpha(a) = e^{2\pi i n/k} a$. This property is more visible when $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $A = C(X)$; elements of A_0 are even functions, and elements of A_1 are odd functions.

Lemma 2.7 (Rephrasing Classical Results). Let $\mathbb{Z}_k$, $k \geq 2$, act freely on itself by multiplication. Denote $\mathbb{Z}_k$ by G_0 , and let $G_{n+1} = G_n * \mathbb{Z}_k$, which has a free $\mathbb{Z}_k$ action determined recursively as above. Equip $\mathbb{C}$ with the $\mathbb{Z}_k$ rotation action, $z \mapsto e^{2\pi i/k} z$. If $n \geq 2$ and $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C(G_{2n})$ are equivariant for these actions, then $f_1, \dots, f_n$ have a common zero.

Proof. If $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C(G_{2n})$ do not have a common zero, then we may scale them to form a single map $F : G_{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$. If $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ is given the same type of coordinate rotation action $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i/k} z_1, \dots, e^{2\pi i/k} z_n)$, F is also equivariant. This contradicts the main theorem in [5], which is written in more modern language as Theorem 6.2.6 in [9]. Specifically, G_{2n} is $(2n-1)$ -connected (see [9], Definition 4.3.1 and Proposition 4.4.3) and $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ has dimension $2n-1$, so there are no equivariant maps between them for free actions of $\mathbb{Z}_k$. $\square$

Theorem 2.8. Suppose X and G are compact and Hausdorff, where G is a topological group acting freely on X and G has a nontrivial torsion element. Then there is no equivariant, continuous map from $X * G$ to X , and any equivariant, continuous map from X to itself is homotopically nontrivial.

Proof. Suppose the theorem fails. Since G has nontrivial torsion, choose a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_k \leq G$ for $k \geq 2$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_k$ acts freely on X , and by restriction, there is also a continuous, equivariant map $\phi : X * \mathbb{Z}_k \rightarrow X$. We may iterate this map on higher joins, and compose these new maps to form an equivariant map from $(X * \mathbb{Z}_k) * \mathbb{Z}_k * \dots * \mathbb{Z}_k$ to X for any number of joins. These restrict to equivariant maps from $\mathbb{Z}_k * \dots * \mathbb{Z}_k$ to X . Dualizing these maps, we reach equivariant, unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\psi_n : C(X) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{Z}_k * \dots * \mathbb{Z}_k)$. The action of

$\mathbb{Z}_k$ on $C(X)$ is saturated, so the ideal generated by elements in the $e^{2\pi i/k}$ eigenspace of the action is full. By examining ψ_n for n large enough, we reach a contradiction of the previous lemma, just as in the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ case. $\square$

The infinite join of a finite group G was considered by J. Milnor in [10] and [11] as a universal G -bundle, and the issue of fixed points on the Stone-Ćech compactification of G -bundles was once again considered in [6]. However, one may easily write a C^* -algebraic variant of the above theorem using its connectivity-based proof, which is the advantage of an approach using connectivity instead of the Stone-Ćech compactification (an equally valid approach in the topological setting). Unfortunately, the (non)existence of equivariant maps from $X * G$ to X when G is torsion-free is still open, as both Stone-Ćech techniques in the literature and general Borsuk-Ulam theorems do not seem applicable in that case. Instead of considering $X * G$ for any torsion-free compact group, we may restrict to the join with the closed subgroup generated by a single nontrivial element in G , reducing the problem to the case when G is compact, abelian, and torsion-free. Such groups are fairly exotic, such as $G = (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}, \tau)$ where τ is the discrete topology, but if there is a counterexample (unlikely) to be found, then it can be found among those groups. A general classification of such groups can be found in [7].

Question 2.9. Let G be a compact, Hausdorff, abelian, torsion-free, nontrivial group. Let G act (freely) on itself by multiplication and extend this action to iterated joins. Any such action is free, so the associated action α on $A = C(G * \dots * G)$ is saturated, and consequently the closed ideal generated by any A_τ is full. Does the number of A_τ elements required to approximate the multiplicative identity 1 remain bounded as the number of iterated joins increases? This would be of particular interest for the evaluation homomorphisms at points $q \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}, \tau) = (\widehat{\mathbb{Q}}, \tau)$, and similarly for related groups.

3 A Noncommutative Suspension and $\mathbb{Z}_k$ -Join

Certain θ -deformed noncommutative spheres are examples of unreduced suspensions. For example, every even θ -sphere is realized as the unreduced suspension $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n}) \cong \Sigma C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1})$, and if $C(\mathbb{S}_\omega^{2n+1})$ is such that z_{n+1} is central, then $C(\mathbb{S}_\omega^{2n+1}) \cong \Sigma C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n})$ for an appropriately chosen ρ . However, this does not capture all $(2n+1)$ -spheres, and it seems reasonable that we might reach some odd sphere $C(\mathbb{S}_\omega^{2n+1})$ with anticommutation relations on z_{n+1} by passing through a different type of suspension procedure. In particular, we wish to add a self-adjoint coordinate that is not central, and in doing so we also develop a noncommutative join $A *_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k$ that is different from the C^* -algebraic join in [1].

We adopt the convention that the group C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_k)$ and crossed products $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k$ will have group elements denote by δ^n , where δ is a prescribed generator of $\mathbb{Z}_k$. For example, a general element of $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k$ is $a_0 + a_1\delta + a_2\delta^2 + \dots + a_{k-1}\delta^{k-1}$. Also, an action α of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ on A is identified with its generating homomorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ of order dividing k .

Lemma 3.1. Let A_θ denote a quantum 2-torus whose unitary generators anticommute. If α denotes the antipodal action of $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and $\hat{\alpha}$ denotes the dual action on the crossed product $C(\mathbb{S}^1) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2$ (so $\hat{\alpha}(f + g\delta) = f - g\delta$), then $A_\theta \cong \{h : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2 : h \text{ is continuous and } \hat{\alpha}(h(-w)) = h(w) \text{ for all } w\}$.

Proof. The torus A_θ maps into the function algebra by the universal property; denote the generator of $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ by z , send U_1 to the function $g_1(w) = z$, and send U_2 to the function

$g_2(w) = w\delta$. Note that in both images, the δ -component in the crossed product has a coefficient that is an odd function in w , and the other component has an even function in w , satisfying the symmetry condition imposed on the target space. This map is trace-preserving, where A_θ has the usual trace and the function algebra has $\tau(f + g\delta) = \int \int f(w)[z]$, where the integrals are with respect to normalized Haar measure. Since the trace on A_θ is faithful, this implies that the homomorphism is injective.

To show the homomorphism is surjective, it is sufficient to show its range is dense, as C^* -homomorphisms have closed range. Any target element is of the form $w \mapsto f(w) + g(w)\delta$ where $f(w), g(w) \in C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ have $f(-w)[z] = f(w)[z]$ and $g(-w)[z] = -g(w)[z]$. Now, f and g may also be seen as continuous functions F, G on the torus $\mathbb{T}^2$, $F(w, z) = f(w)[z]$, $G(w, z) = g(w)[z]$, where $F(-w, z) = F(w, z)$ and $G(-w, z) = -G(w, z)$. These are uniformly approximable by $*$ -polynomials $P(w, z) = p(w)[z]$, $Q(w, z) = q(w)[z]$ that meet the symmetry conditions, and $p(w) + q(w)\delta$ is easily seen in the range. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. If $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^3)$ denotes the 3-sphere with $z_2 z_1 = -z_1 z_2$, then $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^3) \cong \{f : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2 : f \text{ is continuous, } \widehat{\alpha}(f(-w)) = f(w) \text{ for all } w, \text{ and if } w \in \partial\overline{\mathbb{D}}, \text{ then } f(w) \in \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}\delta\}$.

Proof. Similar to the above argument, let ϕ be the homomorphism from $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^3)$ to this function algebra defined by $\phi(z_1)[w] = \sqrt{1 - |w|^2}z$ and $\phi(z_2)[w] = w\delta$. By viewing $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ as a union of concentric circles shrinking to a point, any element of the function algebra is a continuous family of functions $\psi_t : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2$ indexed by $t \in [0, 1]$, where ψ_0 outputs a single member of $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$, ψ_1 is $\mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}\delta$ -valued, and $\widehat{\alpha}(\psi_t(-w)) = \psi_t(w)$. Using the previous lemma, we see that each ψ_t represents an element of the quantum torus A_θ with two anticommuting generators U_1 and U_2 , with ψ_0 giving an element of $C^*(U_1)$ and ψ_1 giving an element of $C^*(U_2)$. By the description in [12] of $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^3)$ as a family of functions on the quantum torus, $(\psi_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$ defines an element of $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^3)$, and a straightforward computation shows that this procedure defines the inverse of ϕ . $\square$

If the anticommuting sphere $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^3)$ is viewed as a function algebra over $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ as in the previous lemma, then $z_2 - z_2^*$ vanishes on $[-1, 1] \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, and the quotient $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^3)/\langle z_2 - z_2^* \rangle$ is given as a function algebra on $[-1, 1]$.

Lemma 3.3. The quotient $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^3)/\langle z_2 - z_2^* \rangle$ is isomorphic to the function space $\{f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2 : f \text{ is continuous and } \widehat{\alpha}(f(-t)) = f(t) \text{ for all } t \in [-1, 1]\}$. The symmetry condition renders the domain $[-1, 1]$ redundant, so this algebra is also isomorphic to $\{f : [0, 1] \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2 : f \text{ is continuous, } f(0) \in C(\mathbb{S}^1), \text{ and } f(1) \in \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}\delta\}$.

This lemma shows that to add a self-adjoint, anticommuting coordinate to $C(\mathbb{S}^1)$, it suffices to look at a space of functions into a crossed product. Similar techniques apply to higher spheres, where the new coordinate could possibly commute or anticommute with prescribed generators, giving us a type of noncommutative unreduced suspension.

Definition 3.4. Let A be a C^* -algebra with an action α of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. The noncommutative unreduced suspension of $(A, \alpha, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, denoted $\Sigma^\alpha A$, is defined as $\{f \in C([0, 1], A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2) : f(0) \in A, f(1) \in \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}\delta = C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2)\}$.

This noncommutative unreduced suspension does not appear to match with the double suspension found in [8]. When α is the trivial action, this construct is isomorphic to the usual unreduced suspension, where the crossed product encodes homogeneity classes of functions.

Specifically, $\Sigma A \cong \Sigma^{\text{triv}} A$ via $f(t) \mapsto \frac{f(t)+f(-t)}{2} + \frac{f(t)-f(-t)}{2}\delta$, where we note that while $f(t)$ is defined on $[-1, 1]$, the image functions are only defined on $[0, 1]$. To form the inverse, start with an element $h + k\delta \in \Sigma^{\text{triv}} A$, let H denote the even extension of h , and let K denote the odd extension of k . Then the inverse of image of $h + k\delta$ is $H + K$.

For the usual unreduced suspension, any $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action on A could be extended to ΣA in a natural way, by applying the action pointwise and composing with $t \mapsto -t$. For $\Sigma^\alpha A$, we may extend an action β only if it commutes with α , and in this case we apply β pointwise on $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2$ and compose with $\hat{\alpha}$, which negates the group element δ .

$$f(t) + g(t)\delta \mapsto \beta(f(t)) - \beta(g(t))\delta$$

Removing the component $t \mapsto -t$ suggests that this idea could be applied more generally for a larger class of actions, and indeed this idea extends naturally to the join. If X is a compact Hausdorff space on which G acts, then the noncommutative join of $[1]$ is motivated by the following identification.

$$\begin{aligned} C(X * G) &\cong \{f \in C([0, 1], C(X \times G)) : f(0) \text{ is } G\text{-independent, } f(1) \text{ is } X\text{-independent}\} \\ &\cong \{f \in C([0, 1], C(X) \otimes C(G)) : f(0) \in C(X), f(1) \in C(G)\} \end{aligned}$$

A different noncommutative join for finite cyclic groups may be developed from realizing $C(X) \otimes C(\mathbb{Z}_k)$ as a trivial crossed product.

$$C(X * \mathbb{Z}_k) \cong \{f \in C([0, 1], C(X) \rtimes_{\text{triv}} \mathbb{Z}_k) : f(0) \in C(X), f(1) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}_k)\}$$

Definition 3.5. Let A be a C^* -algebra with a $\mathbb{Z}_k$ action α . Then a noncommutative join $A *_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k$ is defined by $\{f \in C([0, 1], A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k) : f(0) \in A, f(1) \in C^*(\mathbb{Z}_k)\}$.

An action β of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ on A which commutes with α may be extended to $A *_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k$ by applying β pointwise and also applying the dual action of α . Since the Pontryagin dual of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_k$ in a non-canonical way, we fix a primitive k th root of unity $\omega = e^{2\pi i/k}$. An element of $A * \mathbb{Z}_k$ may be viewed as $f_0 + f_1\delta + \dots + f_{k-1}\delta^{k-1}$ where $f_1, \dots, f_n$ are functions from $[0, 1]$ into A . Then we extend β to $A *_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k$ as $\tilde{\beta}$, just as in the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ case.

$$\tilde{\beta}(f_0 + f_1\delta + \dots + f_{k-1}\delta^{k-1})(t) := \beta(f_0(t)) + \omega\beta(f_1(t))\delta + \dots + \omega^{k-1}\beta(f_{k-1}(t))\delta^{k-1}$$

The isomorphism $C(\mathbb{Z}_k) \cong C^*(\mathbb{Z}_k)$ may be written in such a way that the dual action of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_k} \cong \mathbb{Z}_k$ on the group C^* -algebra is equivalent to the translation action of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ on its algebra of functions. We ask the following question in analogy with [1] and [4].

Question 3.6. Suppose A is a unital C^* -algebra with two commuting $\mathbb{Z}_k$ actions α and β . If α is nonsaturated and β is saturated, must there not exist any unital, $(\beta, \tilde{\beta})$ -equivariant $*$ -homomorphisms from A to $A *_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k$? (When α is trivial, the techniques of Theorem 2.8 give a positive answer.)

Again, the θ -deformed spheres are examples toward this question. Any odd sphere $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1})$ admits $\mathbb{Z}_k$ actions which rotate the coordinates, so the generator for an action α could map z_i to $\omega_i z_i$ where the ω_i are k th roots of unity, not all of which need to be primitive. If β denotes another such action where the roots are primitive, then β is a saturated action, α and β commute, and β extends to an action $\tilde{\beta}$ on $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1}) *_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k$.

Example 3.7. Let $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1})$ be equipped with coordinate rotations α and β , as above. Then there is no unital, $(\beta, \tilde{\beta})$ -equivariant $*$ -homomorphism from $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1})$ to the join $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1}) *_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k$.

Proof. If such a homomorphism existed, then evaluate at $t = 0$ to form a β -equivariant map ψ from $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1})$ to itself. The image of the K_1 generator $Z_\rho(n)$ under ψ is a matrix over $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1})$ which is trivial in $K_1(C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k)$ by following a path from $t = 0$ to $t = 1$. The endpoint $t = 1$ corresponds to an invertible matrix with entries in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_k)$, which is K_1 -trivial. But $K_1(C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1}))$ maps injectively into $K_1(C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_k)$, so $\psi(Z_\rho(n))$ is trivial in $K_1(C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1}))$ as well. It follows that ψ is a K_1 -trivial map, contradicting the main result of [13]. $\square$

In the above example, a homomorphism to the join did not exist because it would trivialize a certain algebraic invariant, K_1 . However, the proof method of Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.4 is to iterate a map through higher suspensions, a technique which is not applicable to Question 3.6 by design. In particular, we require α to be nonsaturated to rule out some simple counterexamples. If $\alpha = \beta$ were saturated, one could attempt to prove a version of Question 3.6 by using iteration techniques, which would suggest examining iterated joins of $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in analogy with the commutative case. But this method will surely fail.

Example 3.8. Let B_n be the universal, unital C^* -algebra generated by self-adjoint elements $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$ which pairwise anticommute and satisfy $x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1$. Equip B_n with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action β that negates each generator. Then there is an odd, self-adjoint, unitary element $x_1 + \dots + x_{n+1}$, and therefore there is also an equivariant homomorphism from $B_0 = C(\mathbb{S}^0)$ to B_n . Composing the equivariant map $B_0 \rightarrow B_1$ with the map $B_1 \rightarrow B_0 *_\beta \mathbb{Z}_2$ guaranteed by the universal property gives a $(\beta, \tilde{\beta})$ -equivariant map $B_0 \rightarrow B_0 *_\beta \mathbb{Z}_2 = \Sigma^\beta B_0$.

The assumption that α and β have different saturation properties removes the above pathological case, which is similar to a counterexample used in [13] for purely element-based Borsuk-Ulam theorems on $C(\mathbb{S}_\rho^{2n-1})$. Question 3.6 (in the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ case) is an attempt at removing the commutative spheres lurking in the background of any equivariant map $A \rightarrow \Sigma A$ found when resolving Dąbrowski's conjecture.

4 Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to Marc Rieffel, Alan Weinstein, and Guoliang Yu for hosting visits to University of California Berkeley and Texas A&M University, during which a fair portion of this work was completed, and to my advisors John McCarthy and Xiang Tang at Washington University in St. Louis for their ongoing support.

References

- [1] Paul F. Baum, Ludwik Dąbrowski, and Piotr M. Hajac. Noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam-type conjectures. arxiv:1502.05756.
- [2] Semeon A. Bogatyi and Vitaly V. Fedorchuk. Schauder's Fixed Point and Amenability of a Group. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 29(2):383–401, 2007.

- [3] Andrew Casson and Daniel Henry Gottlieb. Fibrations with Compact Fibres. *Amer. J. Math.*, 99(1):159–189, 1977.
- [4] Ludwik Dąbrowski. Towards a noncommutative Brouwer fixed-point theorem. arxiv:1504.03588.
- [5] Albrecht Dold. Simple proofs of some Borsuk-Ulam results. In *Proceedings of the Northwestern Homotopy Theory Conference (Evanston, Ill., 1982)*, volume 19 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 65–69. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
- [6] David Feldman and Alexander Wilce. Degenerate Fibres in the Stone-Čech Compactification of the Universal Bundle of a Finite Group. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 354(9):3757–3769 (electronic), 2002.
- [7] Karl H. Hofmann and Sidney A. Morris. *The Structure of Compact Groups*, volume 25 of *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2013. A primer for the student—a handbook for the expert, Third edition, revised and augmented.
- [8] Jeong Hee Hong and Wojciech Szymański. Noncommutative balls and mirror quantum spheres. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 77(3):607–626, 2008.
- [9] Jiří Matoušek. *Using the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. Lectures on topological methods in combinatorics and geometry, Written in cooperation with Anders Björner and Günter M. Ziegler.
- [10] John Milnor. Construction of Universal Bundles. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 63:272–284, 1956.
- [11] John Milnor. Construction of Universal Bundles. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 63:430–436, 1956.
- [12] T. Natsume and C. L. Olsen. Toeplitz Operators on Noncommutative Spheres and an Index Theorem. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 46(4):1055–1112, 1997.
- [13] Benjamin Passer. A Noncommutative Borsuk-Ulam Theorem for Natsume-Olsen Spheres. arxiv:1503.01822. To appear in *Journal of Operator Theory*.
- [14] N. Christopher Phillips. Freeness of actions of finite groups on C^* -algebras. In *Operator structures and dynamical systems*, volume 503 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 217–257. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [15] Elżbieta Pol. Two Examples of Perfectly Normal Spaces. *Houston J. Math.*, 26(2):335–341, 2000.
- [16] Ali Taghavi. A Banach Algebraic Approach to the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, pages Art. ID 729745, 11, 2012.
- [17] Eric K. van Douwen. βX and fixed-point free maps. *Topology Appl.*, 51(2):191–195, 1993.
- [18] Makoto Yamashita. Equivariant Comparison of Quantum Homogeneous Spaces. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 317(3):593–614, 2013.